arXiv:1510.05095v1 [math.AP] 17 Oct 2015

Blowup Phenomena for Compressible Euler Equations with Non-vacuum Initial Data

Sen Wong* and Manwai Yuen†

Department of Mathematics and Information Technology,

The Hong Kong Institute of Education,

10 Lo Ping Road, Tai Po, New Territories, Hong Kong

Revised 20-Apr-2015

Abstract

In this article, we study the blowup phenomena of compressible Euler equations with non-vacuum initial data. Our new results, which cover a general class of testing functions, present new initial value blowup conditions. The corresponding blowup results of the 1-dimensional case in non-radial symmetry are also included.

MSC: 35B44, 35L67, 35Q31, 35B30

Key Words: Euler Equations, Integration Method, Blowup, Radial Symmetry, Non-vacuum, Initial Value Problems

*E-mail address: senwongsenwong@yahoo.com.hk

†Corresponding Author, E-mail address: nevetsyuen@hotmail.com

1 Introduction and Main Results

N-dimensional compressible isentropic Euler equations for fluids can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0 \\ \rho[u_t + (u \cdot \nabla)u] + \nabla P = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\rho = \rho(t,x) : [0,\infty) \times R^N \to [0,\infty)$, $u = u(t,x) : [0,\infty) \times R^N \to R^N$ and P are the density, the velocity, and the pressure functions respectively. For polytropic fluids, we have

$$P = K\rho^\gamma, \tag{2}$$

for which the constants $K > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 1$.

For non-vacuum initial data, the density remains positive for $t \geq 0$. From equation $(1)_1$, we know that the value of $\rho(t,x)$ is determined by $\rho_0(x)$ and an exponential function along a characteristic curve. More precisely, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *If $\rho_0(x) > 0$ for all $x \in R^N$, then $\rho(t,x) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and for all $x \in R^N$.*

Proof. With the material derivative along a characteristic curve $x(t;x_0)$, the mass equation $(1)_1$ becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}\rho}{\mathrm{D}t} + \rho \bigtriangledown \cdot u = 0. \tag{3}$$

Taking the integration, we obtain

$$\rho(t, x(t;x_0)) = \rho_0(x_0) exp\left(- \int_0^t \bigtriangledown \cdot u(s, x(s;x_0)) ds \right). \tag{4}$$

The result follows easily from the above equation. ■

In radial symmetry, Equations (1) are written in the following form

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + V\rho_r + \rho V_r + \dfrac{N-1}{r}\rho V = 0 \\ \rho\left(V_t + VV_r\right) + P_r = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Here,

$$\rho = \rho(t,r) \quad \text{and} \quad u = \frac{x}{r}V(t,r) =: \frac{x}{r}V, \tag{6}$$

with the radius $r = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2\right)^{1/2}$.

For the development of and classical results of the Euler equations and fluid mechanics, readers may refer to [1, 2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16].

In contrast to the condition given in [14], where a vacuum state is considered, we investigate the Euler equations with a non-vacuum state and the finite propagation is applied. By refining the arguments in [11, 13], we obtain the corresponding result for R^N using the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *Let (ρ, u) be a C^1 solution of the N-dimensional Euler equations (1) with $\gamma > 1$, life span $T > 0$ and the following initial data:*

$$\begin{cases} (\rho(0,x), u(0,x)) = (\bar{\rho} + \rho_0(x), u_0(x)) \\ \quad supp(\rho_0, u_0) \subseteq \{x : |x| \leq R\}, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

for some positive constants $\bar{\rho}$ and R. Then, we have

$$(\rho, u) = (\bar{\rho}, 0) \tag{8}$$

for $t \in [0, T)$ and $|x| \geq R + \sigma t$, where $\sigma = \sqrt{K\gamma\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}} > 0$.

Proof. The proof is included in the Appendix. ■

The following corollary is the radial symmetry version of Lemma 2.

Corollary 3 *Let (ρ, V) be a C^1 solution of the N-dimensional Euler equations in radial symmetry (5) with $\gamma > 1$, life span $T > 0$ and the following initial data*

$$\begin{cases} (\rho(0,r), V(0,r)) = (\bar{\rho} + \rho_0(r), V_0(r)) \\ \quad supp(\rho_0, V_0) \subseteq \{r : r \leq R\}, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

for some positive constants $\bar{\rho}$ and R. Then, we have

$$(\rho, V) = (\bar{\rho}, 0), \tag{10}$$

for $t \in [0, T)$ and $r \geq R + \sigma t$, where $\sigma = \sqrt{K\gamma\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}} > 0$.

In 2011, Yuen obtained the initial functional conditions for the blowup of the Euler-Poisson equations for testing functions $f(r) = r^n$ (with $n = 1$ in [4] and an arbitrary positive constant n in [5]). Subsequently, the authors in [9] designed general testing functions to obtain the initial

functional conditions for showing the blowup phenomena of the Euler and Euler-Poisson equations using the integration method under the nonslip boundary condition [3]. Recently, the authors in [7] obtained improved blowup results for the Euler and Euler-Poisson equations with repulsive forces based on [4]. To apply the integration method, controlling of the support of the data is required. With the assistance of Corollary 3, we can use the integration method to study the blowup phenomena of the Euler equations in which the nonslip boundary condition is replaced by an initial value condition, and thus obtain new blowup results. More precisely, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 4 *Fix $a > 2$ and $\tau > 0$. Let $f(r)$ be a strictly increasing C^1 function that vanishes at 0. Under the setting of Corollary 3, if $H_1(0)$ is large enough such that*

$$\frac{(a-2)H_1^2(0)}{2aB_1(\tau)} - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}f(R+\sigma\tau) > 0 \tag{11}$$

and

$$H_1(0) \geq \left[\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{aB_1(s)}ds\right]^{-1}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$H_1(t) = \int_0^\infty f(r)V(t,r)dr \tag{13}$$

and

$$B_1(t) = \int_0^{R+\sigma t} \frac{f^2(r)}{f'(r)}dr, \tag{14}$$

then, the time $T < \tau$.

Theorem 5 *Fix $a > 2$ and $\tau > 0$. Let $f(x)$ be a non-negative strictly increasing C^1 function. Under the setting of Lemma 2 with $N = 1$, if $H_2(0)$ is large enough such that*

$$\frac{(a-2)H_2^2(0)}{2aB_2(\tau)} - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}f(R+\sigma\tau) > 0 \tag{15}$$

and

$$H_2(0) \geq \left[\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{aB_2(s)}ds\right]^{-1}, \tag{16}$$

then the time $T < \tau$, where

$$H_2(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)u(t,x)dx \tag{17}$$

and

$$B_2(t) = \int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} \frac{f^2(x)}{f'(x)} dx. \tag{18}$$

Other blowup results for the compressible Euler equations are provided in Section 2.

2 Integration Methods

First, we give a detailed proof of Theorem 4 using the integration method for $\gamma > 1$ as follows.

Proof of Theorem 4**.** Equation $(5)_2$, for non-vacuum initial data, becomes

$$V_t + \partial_r(\frac{1}{2}V^2) + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\partial_r(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}) = 0. \tag{19}$$

Multiplying equation (19) by function $f(r)$ and taking the integration over $[0, \infty)$, we get

$$\dot{H}_1(t) + \int_0^\infty f(r)\partial_r(\frac{1}{2}V^2)dr + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_0^\infty f(r)\partial_r(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})dr = 0. \tag{20}$$

Note that the integrals are well defined.

Using the integration by parts, we get

$$\dot{H}_1(t) + \int_0^{R+\sigma t} f(r)\partial_r(\frac{1}{2}V^2)dr + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_0^{R+\sigma t} f(r)\partial_r(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})dr = 0 \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{H}_1(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left[V^2(t,r)f(r)\right]_0^{R+\sigma t} + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\left[(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})f(r)\right]_0^{R+\sigma t} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 f'(r)dr + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_0^{R+\sigma t}(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})f'(r)dr
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\dot{H}_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 f'(r)dr + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_0^{R+\sigma t}(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})f'(r)dr \tag{23}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 f'(r)dr - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_0^{R+\sigma t}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}f'(r)dr \tag{24}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 f'(r)dr - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}f(R+\sigma t). \tag{25}$$

That is,

$$\dot{H}_1(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 f'(r)dr - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}f(R+\sigma t). \tag{26}$$

On the other hand, by the Cauchy Inequality,

$$\left[\int_0^{R+\sigma t} V f(r)dr\right]^2 \leq \int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 f'(r)dr \int_0^{R+\sigma t} \frac{f^2(r)}{f'(r)}dr \tag{27}$$

$$\int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 f'(r)dr \geq \frac{H_1^2(t)}{B_1(t)}. \tag{28}$$

Hence,

$$\dot{H}_1(t) \geq \frac{H_1^2(t)}{2B_1(t)} - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} f(R+\sigma t). \tag{29}$$

When $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, we have

$$\dot{H}_1(t) \geq \frac{H_1^2(t)}{aB_1(t)} + \left[\frac{(a-2)H_1^2(t)}{2aB_1(t)} - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} f(R+\sigma t)\right] \tag{30}$$

$$\geq \frac{H_1^2(t)}{aB_1(t)} + \left[\frac{(a-2)H_1^2(t)}{2aB_1(\tau)} - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} f(R+\sigma \tau)\right] \tag{31}$$

$$=: \frac{H_1^2(t)}{aB_1(t)} + G_1(t). \tag{32}$$

From condition (11), we have $G_1(0) > 0$. It follows that $G_1(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$. More precisely, suppose $G_1(t_1) < 0$, for some $0 < t_1 \leq \tau$, then there exists a constant t_2, where $0 < t_2 < t_1$, such that

$$\begin{cases} G_1(t) > 0, & 0 \leq t < t_2 \\ G_1(t) = 0, & t = t_2 \\ G_1(t) < 0, & t_2 < t < t_2 + \varepsilon_1, \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$.

Thus, $\dot{H}_1(t_2) \geq 0$ implies $H_1(t_2 + \varepsilon_2) \geq H_1(t_2) > 0$, for some $0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1$. Thus, $G_1(t_2 + \varepsilon_2) \geq G_1(t_2) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, we have

$$H_1(t) \geq H_1(0) > 0 \tag{34}$$

and

$$\dot{H}_1(t) \geq \frac{H_1^2(t)}{aB_1(t)}. \tag{35}$$

It follows that for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{H_1(0)} - \frac{1}{H_1(t)} \geq \int_0^t \frac{1}{aB_1(s)} ds. \tag{36}$$

Thus,

$$0 < \frac{1}{H_1(t)} \leq \frac{1}{H_1(0)} - \int_0^t \frac{1}{aB_1(s)} ds. \tag{37}$$

From condition (12), we conclude that the non-vacuum solutions for the Euler equations (5) blow up before τ, that is, the time $T < \tau$.

The proof is complete. ■

Second, the proof of Theorem 5 for the corresponding 1-dimensional case in non-radial symmetry is presented.

Proof of Theorem 5. The 1-dimensional momentum equation $(1)_2$ with non-vacuum data is written as

$$u_t + uu_x + K\gamma\rho^{\gamma-2}\rho_x = 0, \tag{38}$$

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2) + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\partial_x(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}) = 0. \tag{39}$$

As before, we multiply the above equation by function $f(x)$ on both sides and take the integration with respect to x, yielding

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)u_t dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)\partial_x(u^2) + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)\partial_x(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}) = 0. \tag{40}$$

By using the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{H}_2(t) + \frac{1}{2}\left[f(x)u^2\right]_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\left[f(x)(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})\right]_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 f'(x)dx + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t}(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})f'(x)dx. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Hence,

$$\dot{H}_2(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 f'(x)dx + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t}(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})f'(x)dx \tag{42}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 f'(x)dx - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}f(R+\sigma t). \tag{43}$$

On the other hand,

$$\left[\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} uf(x)dx\right]^2 \leq \left(\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 f'(x)dx\right)\left(\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t}\frac{f^2(x)}{f'(x)}dx\right). \tag{44}$$

Then,

$$\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 f'(x)dx \geq \frac{H_2^2(t)}{B_2(t)}. \tag{45}$$

Thus, we have

$$\dot{H}_2(t) \geq \frac{H_2^2(t)}{2B_2(t)} - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} f(R+\sigma t). \tag{46}$$

For $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, we obtain

$$\dot{H}_2(t) \geq \frac{H_2^2(t)}{aB_2(t)} + \left[\frac{(a-2)H_2^2(t)}{2aB_2(t)} - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} f(R+\sigma t)\right] \tag{47}$$

$$\geq \frac{H_2^2(t)}{aB_2(t)} + \left[\frac{(a-2)H_2^2(t)}{2aB_2(\tau)} - \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} f(R+\sigma \tau)\right] \tag{48}$$

$$=: \frac{H_2^2(t)}{aB_2(t)} + G_2(t). \tag{49}$$

As before, from $G_2(0) > 0$, we have $G_2(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$. Therefore,

$$\dot{H}_2(t) \geq \frac{H_2^2(t)}{aB_2(t)}. \tag{50}$$

It follows that the time $T < \tau$ if condition (16) is satisfied.

The proof is complete. ■

To give the proofs of Theorems 9 and 10, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6 *Define* $m_1(t) = \int_0^\infty (\rho - \bar{\rho}) r^{N-1} dr$. *Then we have* $m_1{}'(t) = 0$ *for* $N \geq 1$. *In other words,* $m_1(t) = m_1(0)$.

Proof. Note that the integral is well defined by Corollary 3. Thus, we have

$$m_1{}'(t) = \int_0^\infty \rho_t r^{N-1} dr \tag{51}$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty \left((V\rho)_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho V\right) r^{N-1} dr \tag{52}$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty \left(r^{N-1}(V\rho)_r + (\rho V)(N-1)r^{N-2}\right) dr \tag{53}$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty \left(r^{N-1}\rho V\right)_r dr \tag{54}$$

$$= -\int_0^{R+\sigma t} \left(r^{N-1}\rho V\right)_r dr \tag{55}$$

$$= -\left[r^{N-1}\rho V\right]_0^{R+\sigma t} \tag{56}$$

$$= 0, \tag{57}$$

for $N > 1$.

For $N = 1$, expression (56) is still zero, as by continuity,

$$V(t,0) = \lim_{x\to 0^+} u(t,x) = \lim_{x\to 0^-} u(t,x) = -V(t,0), \tag{58}$$

which implies $V(t,0) = 0$. ■

Remark 7 *It should be noted that function $m_1(t)$ in the above lemma is a radial symmetry version of the $m(t)$ function in [11].*

Remark 8 *Similarly, $m_2'(t) = 0$ if $m_2(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(\rho(t,x) - \bar{\rho})dx$ for the 1-dimensional Euler equations in the non-radial symmetry case.*

Now, we are ready to present the proof of Theorem 9.

Theorem 9 *Fix $\tau > 0$. Under the setting of Corollary 3, we have*

Case 1: $\gamma \geq 2$ and $m_1(0) \geq 0$. If $H_3(0)$ is large enough such that

$$H_3(0) > \frac{2\sigma R^{N+1}(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1}}{N[(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1} - R^{N+1}]}, \tag{59}$$

then the time $T < \tau$.

Case 2: $\gamma = 2$ and $m_1(0) < 0$. If $H_3(0)$ is large enough such that

$$H_3(0) > \frac{a\sigma R^{N+1}(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1}}{N[(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1} - R^{N+1}]}, \tag{60}$$

then the time $T < \tau$,

where

$$H_3(t) = \int_0^\infty r^N V(t,r)dr, \tag{61}$$

$$m_1(t) = \int_0^\infty (\rho(t,r) - \bar{\rho})r^{N-1}dr \tag{62}$$

and

$$a = 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{-4N^2 K m_1(0)[(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1} - R^{N+1}]^2}{(N+1)\sigma^2 R^{2N+2}(R+\sigma\tau)^N}}. \tag{63}$$

Proof. For function $f(r) = r^N$, equation (23) becomes

$$\dot{H}_3(t) = \frac{N}{2}\int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 r^{N-1}dr + \frac{KN\gamma}{\gamma-1}\int_0^{R+\sigma t}(\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})r^{N-1}dr. \tag{64}$$

The Cauchy Inequality can be applied to confirm that

$$H_3^2(t) \leq \frac{(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}}{(N+1)} \int_0^{R+\sigma t} V^2 r^{N-1} dr. \tag{65}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{H}_3(t) \geq \frac{N(N+1)}{2(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t) + \frac{KN\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int_0^{R+\sigma t} (\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}) r^{N-1} dr. \tag{66}$$

For $\gamma > 2$, it can be shown by Holder's Inequality that the second term on the right-hand side of the above equation is greater than or equal to zero. More precisely, for $\gamma > 2$, as $m_1(t) = m_1(0) \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_0^{R+\sigma t} \bar{\rho} r^{N-1} dr \leq \int_0^{R+\sigma t} \rho r^{N-1} dr \leq \left(\int_0^{R+\sigma t} \rho^{\gamma-1} r^{N-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_0^{R+\sigma t} (1) r^{N-1} dr \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}. \tag{67}$$

It follows that

$$\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1} \int_0^{R+\sigma t} r^{N-1} dr \leq \int_0^{R+\sigma t} \rho^{\gamma-1} r^{N-1} dr \tag{68}$$

$$\int_0^{R+\sigma t} (\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}) r^{N-1} dr \geq 0. \tag{69}$$

For $\gamma = 2$, equation (66) becomes

$$\dot{H}_3(t) \geq \frac{N(N+1)}{2(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t) + 2KN m_1(0). \tag{70}$$

For $\gamma \geq 2$ and $m_1(0) \geq 0$, we have

$$\dot{H}_3(t) \geq \frac{N(N+1)}{2(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t). \tag{71}$$

As $H_3(0) > 0$, we have $H_3(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{H_3(0)} - \frac{1}{H_3(t)} \leq \int_0^t \frac{N(N+1)}{2(R+\sigma s)^{N+2}} ds. \tag{72}$$

Therefore, for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, we have

$$\frac{1}{H_3(0)} - \frac{1}{H_3(t)} \leq \int_0^\tau \frac{N(N+1)}{2(R+\sigma s)^{N+2}} ds = \frac{N\left[(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1} - R^{N+1}\right]}{2\sigma R^{N+1}(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1}}. \tag{73}$$

The result of Case 1 follows.

For Case 2, from equation (70), we have

$$\dot{H}_3(t) \geq \frac{N(N+1)}{a(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t) + \left[\frac{(a-2)N(N+1)}{2a(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t) + 2KNm_1(0) \right] \tag{74}$$

$$\geq \frac{N(N+1)}{a(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t) + \left[\frac{(a-2)N(N+1)}{2a(R+\sigma \tau)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t) + 2KNm_1(0) \right] \tag{75}$$

$$=: \frac{N(N+1)}{a(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t) + G_3(t), \tag{76}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$.

Suppose

$$G_3(0) > 0, \tag{77}$$

or equivalently,

$$H_3^2(0) > \frac{-4aKm_1(0)(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+2}}{(a-2)(N+1)}, \tag{78}$$

where the value of $a > 2$ will be determined later.

As $G_3(0) > 0$, we have $G_3(t) \geq 0$ and

$$\dot{H}_3(t) \geq \frac{N(N+1)}{a(R+\sigma t)^{N+2}} H_3^2(t), \tag{79}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$.

Hence,

$$0 < \frac{1}{H_3(t)} \leq \frac{1}{H_3(0)} - \int_0^t \frac{N(N+1)}{a(R+\sigma s)^{N+2}} ds \tag{80}$$

$$= \frac{1}{H_3(0)} - \frac{N[(R+\sigma t)^{N+1} - R^{N+1}]}{a\sigma R^{N+1}(R+\sigma t)^{N+1}} \tag{81}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$.

Then, we have the time $T < \tau$ if

$$H_3(0) \geq \frac{a\sigma R^{N+1}(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1}}{N[(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1} - R^{N+1}]}. \tag{82}$$

By solving the following equation for $a > 2$ for the equation

$$\frac{a\sigma R^{N+1}(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1}}{N[(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+1} - R^{N+1}]} = \sqrt{\frac{-4aKm_1(0)(R+\sigma\tau)^{N+2}}{(a-2)(N+1)}}, \tag{83}$$

we obtain the value of a in equation (63) and hence condition (60) implies conditions (78) and (82). The proof of Case 2 is complete. ■

Next, we have the following theorem for the 1-dimensional Euler equations (1) in non-radial symmetry.

Theorem 10 *Under the setting of Lemma 2 with $N = 1$ and $\gamma \geq 2$, if*

$$H_4(0) > \frac{8\sigma R^2}{3} \tag{84}$$

and

$$m_2(0) \geq 0, \tag{85}$$

then the C^1 non-vacuum solutions blow up on a finite time T_1, where

$$H_4(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} xu(t,x)dx \tag{86}$$

and

$$m_2(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\rho(t,x) - \bar{\rho})dx. \tag{87}$$

Proof. For function $f(x) = x$, equation (42) becomes

$$\dot{H}_4(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 dx + \frac{K\gamma}{\gamma - 1}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} (\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})dx. \tag{88}$$

For $\gamma = 2$, the second term on the right-hand side of the above equation is $\frac{K\gamma}{\gamma-1}m_2(0)$, which is greater than or equal to zero.

For $\gamma > 2$, it can be shown by Holder's inequality that the second term on the right-hand side of the above equation is greater than or equal to zero. More precisely, for $\gamma > 2$, as $m_2(t) = m_2(0) \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} \bar{\rho}dx \leq \int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} \rho dx \leq \left(\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} \rho^{\gamma-1}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \left(\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} (1)dx\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}. \tag{89}$$

It follows that

$$\bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} dx \leq \int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} \rho^{\gamma-1}dx \tag{90}$$

$$\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} (\rho^{\gamma-1} - \bar{\rho}^{\gamma-1})dx \geq 0. \tag{91}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{H}_4(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 dx. \tag{92}$$

The Cauchy Inequality can be used to check

$$H_4^2(t) \le \left(\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 dx \right) \left(\frac{2(R+\sigma t)^3}{3} \right). \tag{93}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{H}_4(t) \ge \frac{3H_4^2(t)}{4(R+\sigma t)^3}. \tag{94}$$

Hence,

$$0 < \frac{1}{H_4(t)} \le \frac{1}{H_4(0)} - \frac{3}{8\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{R^2} - \frac{1}{(R+\sigma t)^2} \right]. \tag{95}$$

If the solutions are global, then by letting $t \to \infty$, we have

$$0 \le \frac{1}{H_4(0)} - \frac{3}{8\sigma R^2}, \tag{96}$$

which contradicts condition (84).

The proof is complete. ■

Last, we present the following corollary, which is easily obtained from the proof of Theorem 10.

Corollary 11 *Fix $\tau > 0$. Under the setting of Lemma 2 with $N = 1$ and $\gamma \ge 2$, we have*

Case 1: $\gamma \ge 2$ and $m_2(0) \ge 0$. If $H_4(0)$ is large enough such that

$$H_4(0) \ge \frac{8R^2(R+\sigma\tau)^2}{3\tau(2R+\sigma\tau)}, \tag{97}$$

then the time $T < \tau$.

Case 2: $\gamma = 2$ and $m_2(0) < 0$. If $H_4(0)$ is large enough such that

$$H_4(0) > \frac{2aR^2(R+\sigma\tau)^2}{\tau(2R+\sigma\tau)}, \tag{98}$$

then the time $T < \tau$, where

$$a = \frac{2}{3} + \sqrt{\frac{4}{9} - \frac{6Km_2(0)\tau^2(2R+\sigma\tau)^2}{9R^4(R+\sigma\tau)}}. \tag{99}$$

Proof. The result of Case 1 follows from equation (95).

For Case 2, from equation (88), we have

$$\dot{H}_4(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 dx + 2Km_2(0). \tag{100}$$

From equation (93), we have

$$\int_{-R-\sigma t}^{R+\sigma t} u^2 dx \geq \frac{3H_4^2(t)}{2(R+\sigma t)^3}. \tag{101}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{H}_4(t) \geq \frac{3H_4^2(t)}{4(R+\sigma t)^3} + 2Km_2(0) \tag{102}$$

$$= \frac{H_4^2(t)}{a(R+\sigma t)^3} + \left[\frac{3a-4}{4a}\frac{H_4^2(t)}{(R+\sigma t)^3} + 2Km_2(0)\right] \tag{103}$$

$$\geq \frac{H_4^2(t)}{a(R+\sigma t)^3} + \left[\frac{3a-4}{4a}\frac{H_4^2(t)}{(R+\sigma \tau)^3} + 2Km_2(0)\right] \tag{104}$$

$$:= \frac{H_4^2(t)}{a(R+\sigma t)^3} + G_4(t) \tag{105}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$.

Suppose

$$G_4(0) > 0 \tag{106}$$

or equivalently,

$$H_4^2(0) > \frac{-8aKm_2(0)(R+\sigma\tau)^3}{(3a-4)}, \tag{107}$$

where the value of $a > 4/3$ will be determined later.

As before, we have $G_4(t) \geq 0$ and

$$\dot{H}_4(t) \geq \frac{H_4^2(t)}{a(R+\sigma t)^3} \tag{108}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \tau$.

Therefore, the time $T < \tau$ if

$$H_4(0) \geq \frac{2aR^2(R+\sigma\tau)^2}{\tau(2R+\sigma\tau)}. \tag{109}$$

Now, solving the equation in $a > 4/3$ for the equation

$$\frac{2aR^2(R+\sigma\tau)^2}{\tau(2R+\sigma\tau)} = \sqrt{\frac{-8aKm_2(0)(R+\sigma\tau)^3}{(3a-4)}}, \tag{110}$$

we obtain the value of a in equation (99). Hence condition (98) implies conditions (107) and (109).

The proof is complete. ■

3 Conclusions

In this article, we provide several new blowup results for the Euler equations (1) for $N = 1$ and general N-dimensional Euler equations in radial symmetry (5) with initial non-vacuum conditions. Specifically, we show that if the initial function $H_i(0)$ is large enough, then blowup occurs on or before a finite time and the corresponding blowup time can be estimated. In particular, the new class of testing functions in Theorem 4 consists of general, non-negative, strictly increasing C^1 functions $f(r)$. This is our main contribution.

The similar analysis can be applied to obtain the corresponding blowup results for the compressible Euler equations with linear damping.

4 Acknowledgement

The research in this paper was partially supported by the Dean's Research Grant FLASS/ECR-9 from the Hong Kong Institute of Education. We thank for the reviewers' comments for improving this article.

References

[1] A. Majda, *Compressible Fluid Flow and Systems of Conservation Laws in Several Space Variables*, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1984.

[2] D.H. Chae and S.Y. Ha, *On the Formation of Shocks to the Compressible Euler Equations*, Commun. Math. Sci., **7** (2009), 627–634.

[3] M.A. Day, *The No-slip Condition of Fluid Dynamics*, Erkenntnis, **33** (1990), 285–296.

[4] M.W. Yuen, *Blowup for the Euler and Euler-Poisson Equations with Repulsive Forces*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, **74** (2011), 1465–1470.

[5] M.W. Yuen, *Blowup for the C^1 Solutions of the Euler-Poisson Equations of Gaseous Stars in R^N*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **383** (2011), 627–633.

[6] P.L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. **1**, **2**, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996, 1998.

[7] R. Li, X. Lin, A. Ma and J. Zhang, *Improved Blowup Results for the Euler and Euler–Poisson Equations with Repulsive Forces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **417** (2014), 57–64.

[8] S. Engelberg, *Formation of Singularities in the Euler and Euler-Poisson Equations*, Phys. D, **98** (1996), 67–74.

[9] S. Wong and M.W. Yuen, *Blowup Phenomena for the Compressible Euler and Euler-Poisson Equations with Initial Functional Conditions*, The Scientific World Journal, **2014** (2014), Article ID 580871, 1–5.

[10] T. Makino, S. Ukai and S. Kawashima, *On Compactly Supported Solutions of the Compressible Euler Equation*, Recent Topics in Nonlinear PDE, III (Tokyo, 1986), 173–183, North-Holland Math. Stud., **148**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[11] T.C. Sideris, *Formation of Singularities in Three-dimensional Compressible Fluids*, Comm. Math. Phys., **101** (1985), 475–485.

[12] T.C. Sideris, *Spreading of the Free Boundary of an Ideal Fluid in a Vacuum*, J. Differential Equations, **257** (2014), 1–14.

[13] T.C. Sideris, B. Thomases and D.H. Wang, *Long Time Behavior of Solutions to the 3D Compressible Euler Equations with Damping*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **28** (2003), 795–816.

[14] T. Suzuki, *Irrotational Blowup of the Solution to Compressible Euler Equation*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., **15** (2013), 617–633.

[15] X.S. Zhu and A.H. Tu, *Blowup of the Axis-Symmetric Solutions for the IBVP of the Isentropic Euler Equations*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, **95** (2014), 99–106.

[16] Z. Lei, Y. Du and Q. Zhang, *Singularities of Solutions to Compressible Euler Equations with Vacuum*, Math. Res. Lett., **20** (2013), 41–50.

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2.

Define

$$v = \frac{2}{\gamma - 1}\left(\sqrt{P'(\rho)} - \sigma\right), \tag{111}$$

where P is regarded as a function of ρ.

Then, equation $(1)_1$ is transformed into

$$v_t + \sigma\nabla\cdot u = -u\cdot\nabla v - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla\cdot u \tag{112}$$

and equation $(1)_2$ is transformed into

$$u_t + \sigma\nabla v = -(u\cdot\nabla)u - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v\nabla v. \tag{113}$$

Multiply equation (112) by v and equation (113) by u. Then, add them together and rearrange the terms to get

$$\left(\frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}\right)_t + \nabla\cdot(\sigma v u) = -vu\cdot\nabla v - u\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u) - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v^2\nabla\cdot u - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}vu\cdot\nabla v, \tag{114}$$

where $u\cdot\nabla u := \sum_{i=1}^N u_i\nabla u_i$ and $u = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_N)$.

Fix $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times(0,T]$ and $\mu\in[0,t)$. Define the truncated cone

$$C_\mu := \{(y,s) : |y-x| \le \sigma(t-s), 0\le s\le\mu\}. \tag{115}$$

Note that the cross sections of C_μ are

$$U(s) := \{y : |y-x|\le\sigma(t-s)\} \quad \text{for } s\in[0,\mu]. \tag{116}$$

Lastly, define

$$e(s) := \int_{U(s)} \frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}(s,y)dy. \tag{117}$$

Take the integration on both sides of equation (114) over C_μ to get

$$\int_0^\mu\int_{U(s)}\left[\left(\frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}\right)_t + \nabla\cdot(\sigma v u)\right]dyds \tag{118}$$

$$= \int_0^\mu\int_{U(s)}\left[-vu\cdot\nabla v - u\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u) - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v^2\nabla\cdot u - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}vu\cdot\nabla v\right]dyds. \tag{119}$$

Step 1. Applying the Differentiation Formula for Moving Regions, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and the Divergence Theorem, expression (118) is equal to

$$\int_{U(\mu)} \left(\frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}\right)(\mu,y)dy - \int_{U(0)} \left(\frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}\right)(0,y)dy + \int_0^\mu \int_{\partial U(s)} \left[\sigma\left(\frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}\right) + \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}\cdot \sigma vu\right] dSds \tag{120}$$

$$= e(\mu) - e(0) + \sigma \int_0^\mu \int_{\partial U(s)} \left(\frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2} + \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}\cdot vu\right) dSds \tag{121}$$

$$\geq e(\mu) - e(0), \tag{122}$$

where dS is the surface element with respect to the variable y and $\partial U(s)$ is the boundary of $U(s)$. Note that by the Cauchy Inequality,

$$\frac{y-x}{|y-x|}\cdot vu \leq \left|\frac{y-x}{|y-x|}\cdot vu\right| \leq |vu| = |v||u| \leq \frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}. \tag{123}$$

Step 2. By the Cauchy Inequality and the following two inequalities,

$$|u\cdot\nabla u| \leq |u|\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nabla u_i|^2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad |\nabla\cdot u| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nabla u_i|^2}, \tag{124}$$

the integrand of (119) can be estimated as follows:

$$-vu\cdot\nabla v - u\cdot(u\cdot\nabla u) - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v^2\nabla\cdot u - \frac{\gamma-1}{2}vu\cdot\nabla v \tag{125}$$

$$\leq |v||u||\nabla v| + |u||(u\cdot\nabla u)| + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}v^2|\nabla\cdot u| + \frac{\gamma-1}{2}|v||u||\nabla v| \tag{126}$$

$$\leq \gamma\left[|v||u||\nabla v| + |u||(u\cdot\nabla u)| + v^2|\nabla\cdot u|\right] \tag{127}$$

$$\leq \gamma\left[\frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}|\nabla v| + \frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}\left(2\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nabla u_i|^2}\right)\right] \tag{128}$$

$$= \gamma\left(\frac{v^2+|u|^2}{2}\right)\left(|\nabla v| + 2\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nabla u_i|^2}\right). \tag{129}$$

Thus, expression (119) is less than or equal to

$$C\int_0^\mu e(s)ds,$$

where

$$C = \gamma \max_{C_\mu}\left\{|\nabla v| + 2\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N |\nabla u_i|^2}\right\} < +\infty. \tag{130}$$

Step 3. Combining the results of Step 1 and Step 2 produces

$$e(\mu) - e(0) \leq C \int_0^\mu e(s)ds. \tag{131}$$

By Gronwall's Inequality and the definition (117) of $e(s)$, we see that

$$0 \leq e(\mu) \leq e(0) \exp(Ct). \tag{132}$$

If $|x| > R + \sigma t$, $|y| > R$ for $y \in U(0)$.

Thus, $e(0) = 0$ and $e(\mu) = 0$ for $|x| > R + \sigma t$.

Thus, $v(\mu, x) = u(\mu, x) = 0$ for $|x| > R + \sigma t$.

Thus, $(\rho, u)(\mu, x) = (\bar{\rho}, 0)$ for $|x| > R + \sigma t$.

As $\mu \in [0, t)$ is arbitrary, the result follows by continuity.